

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS CP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Anecia Collett	24	3
1	Jason Pham	23	4

NEGATIVE

POS	iPoly CK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
4	Danny Chandrahasan	25	2
2	Hasan Khan	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from iPoly CK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rick Hurtado-Scott

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmation had periods of silence and their speeches were often shorter than their allotted times. Advise to work on preparing stronger case and speak up with more confidence.

Negation was well supported and used their time wisely. Danny should work on making more eye contact and reading less. Good job in the crossfire.

Good job all of you! ☺

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	iPoly KK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Dawson Khoury	27	
1	Zuhayr Khan	26	

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa OQ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Callee Olivier	28	
2	Alexis Quintana	28	

The better debating was done by the NEG from Yucaipa OQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: A. M.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

While iPoly presented strong evidence in support of, Yucaipa continued to chip away at iPoly contentions #1, 3 by successfully linking the # issues.

Also, iPoly Speaker #1 lost his cool long enough to be rude, and was deducted speaker points for that.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

ACTUAL
BALLOT

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS CS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Cristina Castro	27	2
2	Haley Sounier	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa MF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Tia Moore	25	4
2	Tiffany Fors	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from TIFFANY YUCAIPA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N.A.

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CHRISTINA - Good, empathetic job. Left about a minute on final focus. Also the time spent arguing that the initial crossing of border illegally was a crime & should be punished was not to your advantage. Although stats can be easily manipulated you still could have included better justification for your point.

HALEY - Good eye contact; would suggest getting out of yourself & be a bit more expressive like when you held up papers initially to make a point. Get better facts/stats to back up point. Assume that immigrant want to be citizens but many may not. If they could earn same money back home they would have stayed. Also, the processes are a huge hassle.

TIA - You started off louder & more powerful than everyone else but that waned. Seemed like you needed help on specifics. Would recommend getting some good quotable sources, memorizing them so you can incorporate into 2nd & 3rd speeches. Overall, you have great potential.

TIFFANY - Great Job! Great Eye Contact, Forceful away from podium, forceful expressions, overall confidence & assertiveness as well as good ability to think on the fly.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta WS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Lindsey Wurtz	26	4
2	Shannon Slankard	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS RM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Joel Reinecke	27	3
1	Mortaza Moradi	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jeff Heitmann

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF: LINDSEY

SPEAKING A LITTLE FAST; SLOW
DOWN. HAD SOME TROUBLE
FOLLOWING HER OWN SPEECH.
FINISHED ON TIME.
ANSWERED A CHALLENGING
QUESTION W/ SOURCES

SHANNON:

SPOKE CLEARLY. MADE GOOD
EYE CONTACT. PROVIDED GOOD
SUPPORTIVE ARGUMENTS FOR
THE TEAM. RAN OUT OF TIME.
ASKED GOOD CLARIFYING QUESTION
IN CROSSFIRE. VERY POLITE.

NEG: MORTAZA

SPEECH WAS ORGANIZED,
SPOKE CLEARLY AND MADE
GOOD EYE CONTACT.

ARGUMENTS WERE CLEARLY
DEFINED W/ GOOD EVIDENCE
ASKED EXCELLENT CROSSFIRE
QUESTIONS.

JOEL:

SPOKE CONVINCINGLY AND
UTILIZED INFORMATION GIVEN BY
OPPONENTS. PROVIDED GOOD SUPPORTIVE
EVIDENCE. PROVIDED GOOD
ARGUMENTS IN CROSSFIRE.
TENDED TO DISMISS AFF'S COMMENTS.

THE NEG PROVIDED BETTER EVIDENCE AND SPOKE MORE
CONVINCINGLY.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Leslie
Trainor

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta FV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Filippo Falchi	27	1
2	Ivonne Verduzco	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa EF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brooke Edwards	26	3
1	Gina Fuller	25	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from La Quinta
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Chaim

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Filippo Once you relaxed, your personality really shined and a great orator emerged. During 1st speech, consider putting paper down and gesturing more freely. You had great evidence. I wanted to hear a bit more to counter the neg's historic precedence. I do Stanford's projections show the net impact of path to citizenship including the increase in the # of people drawing social benefits? I liked how you clearly stated & occasionally reiterated your weighing mechanism. Good pace, also.

Ivonne I liked your hand gestures & eye contact. Consider removing "you know" as a filler. You had a lot of passion behind your rhetoric, which is absolutely important in persuasive arguments. I also thought you finished strongly on the final focus by reminding the audience to vote in your favor.

Gina You have many great things to say, but I felt very disconnected from you which decreases the persuasive element. Consider putting the paper down, making eye contact, slow down and connect with your audience. This may be far more effective than trying to squeeze in a long speech. Also make sure you get in all your "punches" by finishing each speech strongly rather than trailing off @ time.

Brooke

You are very passionate and convicted, which makes you very persuasive. The neg argument - historic precedence - was very strong, however needed to be bolstered. Maybe more recent stats that support the idea that what occurred in 1986 is bound to happen again. That would be difficult to counter for Aff. Take time to articulate your thoughts - urgency is good but it felt rushed at times.

(Neg)

prep time stops when you start speaking; please note your total prep time came to 37 seconds

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa CH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jazmin Cepeda	24	4
1	Noah Hurtado	25	3

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS RP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Micaela Reichenback	27	1
1	Nandeeni Patel	24	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from VMHS RP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christina Gamez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Jazmin = used um alot
Nandeeni = read alot
Micaela = very well prepared

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa BW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jenna Boyse	28	
	Taylor Wood	29	

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alexis Day	28	
	Elizabeth Lick	27	

The better debating was done by the Yucaipa BW from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Sal Mirabal

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*Both teams excellent debaters
but the affirmative team was more convincing*

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa RA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ariel Ryther MG.	25	25
	Sunil Alexander MR	20	20

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS KA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Zachary Kirby Mr.	29	29
	Kendal Asprec Mr.	28	28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Mr. Kirby & Kendal
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

presentation of data and consequences
to U.S. Government was overwhelming

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Resolved: Immigration reform should include a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants currently living in the United States.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS GS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Alessandra Garcia	25	
1	Sampson Smith	26	

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa BS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Kambrya Bailey	26	
2	Baileigh Smith	27	

The better debating was done by the Neg from Yucaipa BS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kalyn Taylor

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Overall:

- more eye contact.
- don't apologize for pausing. Pause, collect yourself & restart.
- avoid phrases such as "them" or "here". "Them" sounds a little offensive. "Here" ~~where you are~~ - be more specific

Aff

Neg

1st speaker: good job. Flesh out your case more. Give impacts
↳ statistics with ~~second~~ contentions.

1st speaker: good job. Give more statistics. Flesh out your arguments, avoid one liners.

2nd speaker: Try to fill time. When you pause, pause & collect yourself instead of saying ~~holding on a second~~ "hold on a second".

2nd speaker: Great Rebuttal! Give more stats in your speech instead of in cross ex. Tell me why you win during last speech

Reasoning: It was close, however the negs. argument of ~~legal~~ allowing legal acts went ~~practically~~ practically unrefuted and ~~when it was a~~ was a large point in the round. When ~~attempted~~ attempted to be refuted, the

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

Sinisa
Sarić

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa FW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Christopher Fernandes	27	1
2	Cody Webb	25	3

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta DJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Riza Dayapera	26	2
2	Ramiro Jarquin	24	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Yucaipa FW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Almaput

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff's choice to interpret the topic by reference to Kobe was a terrible choice.

However, Neg did not effectively counter that.

Aff displayed slightly better speaking skills.

First speakers from both teams carried the debate, ~~and~~ asked questions, and provided comments/questions to their partners, who appeared to be present unwillingly.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa FS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Corrina Fuller	27	
	William Strong	27	

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alessandra Casey	26	26
	Marc Mansour	25	25

The better debating was done by the Neg from La Quinta
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: X

Signed: Brandon

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

Final

In rebuttal try to

stay on track and

not introduce new

information.

Neg

Try to let AFF
ask their P.O.O. or

P.O.I. Next time -

Neg presented a stronger
case

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chino Hills TO	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Diane Tsang	27	2
	Chinelo Onyiah ^{OR Angel Sweater}	26	4

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS JR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	^{W+ 3rd} Erica Johnson	28	1
	Brooklyn Richards	26.5	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Erica / Brooklyn
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: K Edwards

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - DIANE. Good job,
Work on strengthening
your voice. Started
off softly did much
better in the rebuttal
Also more eye contact
Content good.

Chinelo - I felt you
were a little nervous
you know what you wanted
to say but it came out
a little mixed up
Great effort. Voice was
good, eye contact great.

Opp.
Erica. Great organization
on opening speak. Work
on final rebuttal.
Great presentation good
posture, eye contact
etc.

Brooklyn. You started
really strong but I
felt the nerves came
in. You have great
presence up there
stay confident

Room: Q204
Start: 9am
Open Parliamentary

1

Kerrigan Deborah

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS LD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
28	1 Frank Lichorobiec	28	1
27	2 Ayham Dahlan	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	RHS SH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Shae Salgado Herbert ³	26	3
	Carlos (CJ) Hernandez ⁴	25	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Deborah Kerrigan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Dream Act -

stimulus

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA
Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

Pereyra
Jay

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS MM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Anthony Moring <i>apm</i>	28	1
	Domonique Moncada <i>dm</i>	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS	La Quinta AB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Natalie Ayala <i>NA</i>	26	4
	Blake Burton <i>B</i>	27	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

JAY PEREYRA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative!

*Negative: Organization of arguments + need clarity!
- supported affirmative arguments*

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa HB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Amanda Hardy	26	4
2	Emily Boydston	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills HT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Kristina Halverson	28	2
2	Tori Tan	28	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Chino Hills HT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Drake James

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF's first speaker was very nervous
- NEG's speakers were both confident
- AFF's second speaker was strong
- What was the knocking for? NEG
- NEG was kind of rude during speeches
- NEG disproved more of AFF's points though AFF came back for a little
- AFF kept changing what they had said.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS OW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Ashley Orcutt	29	4
1	Liam White	24	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa KH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Kaitlin Keller	28	1
2	Lauren Heitmann	28	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Yucaipa KH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG won the debate because the AFF was not strong with their definition of Resolution. Neg never asked any point of Info. or Order during the whole debate.

Room: Q205
Start: 9am
Open Parliamentary

1

Pearson Faylene

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA
Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chino Hills TP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
3	Steven Tarango	28	2
1	Akshar Patel	30	1

NEGATIVE

POS	RHS HS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Claudia Herbert	25	4
4	Dejana Saric	25	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Chino Hills TP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Faylene Pearson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Chino
you two were
well prepared! Great
Job!!!

RHS
Smile

Room: O-5
Start: 9am
Open Parliamentary

1

~~Lichorobiec Grel~~

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA *Tim Lee.*
Yucaipa High School
12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chino Hills FS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Saleen Fiaz	25	4
	Grant Scott	26	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jeff Lambert <i>2nd</i>	27	1
	Ryan Green <i>1st</i>	26	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Lambert / Green
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: TJ

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

good point on fire arm example By Neg Side

use all the time or most of time especially in
the first speaker By Aff side.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chino Hills CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Francis Cyr	27	4
	Alexis Morales	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS HM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Tiffany Hoyd	28	3
	Samuel Mountain	28	1

The better debating was done by the neg from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Annette Webb

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both had good points. I really enjoyed the point of the affect on the memory. I did like the rebuttal about Christmas break being the same amount of time. I think the cost cutting could have been elaborated on. Perhaps both sides could have taken up more of the allotted time. I would have liked to hear more.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS CL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Matthew Crabtree	27	3
2	Gunner Lee	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa LV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Brianna Lara	24	4
2	Ashley Duke	29	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from VMHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jonah Halverson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① = 5 min 5.5m

② 6.30

① 3 min 25

② 6 min.

THOUGH SPEAKER 2 FROM THE NEG SCORED
THE HIGHEST POINTS, THE AFF TEAM MADE THE
BEST COMBINED ARGUMENTS.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	VMHS AS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Fares Abdullah	27	
	Taryn Sturkey	25	

NEGATIVE

POS	Chino Hills CN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Joshua Clement	29	1
	Kyle Norris	27	

The better debating was done by the NEG from Joshua Clement
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alexander Sue

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Joshua had good eyecontact and was confident in presentation
- Taryn seems a bit nervous
- Both Fares and Kyle were adequate.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta SB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1 st	Jesse Sanchez	20	1
2 nd	Michael Bergh	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Yucaipa PB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2 nd	Ashley Pearson	25	4
1 st	Brooke Brady	26	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from La Quinta SB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- * Prime / Pro - Michael - Strong speaker, fluid, concise, character (20/27)
- * Leader opposition - Brooke - fast talker, repetitive (24/26)
- * 2nd / Pro - Jesse - Strong speaker, constructive statement, (27/27)
- * Member opposition - Ashley - Genuine speaker, Speaking from the heart (24/26)
- Rebuttal - Ashley - Somewhat convincing but weaker delivery
- Prop. - Michael - Strong argument, Better points of Understanding
- * Over all the affirmative team did a better presentation of explaining their affirmation. The negative was also pretty strong and they did a fantastic job, both teams were close in their mind arguments. It was a tough decision.

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Quinta KC	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Alexander Koken	25	3
3	Dominik Corral	24	4

NEGATIVE

POS	VMHS AD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sergio Afonso	26	2
4	Trung Duong	27	1

The better debating was done by the NEG. from SERGIO AFONSO & TRUNG DUONG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 1

Green Vicario Morales

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Alexander Koken

- increase in gun legislation EX: Sandy Hook
- guns should be hard to get, not for those fit
- "chance of becoming unstable" ← define unstable who? Be specific
- if 1 life could be saved it's worth it.
- use every last min / still had 4 mins left.
- stated psychological & background checks should be used ← should have said this in opening statement

* Great demeanor, but must be as specific and clear as possible. Use all time if possible.

Dominik Corral

- "common knowledge"
- EX: prisoners
- psychological impairment
- not best way to enact law
- psychological test
- at one point lost train of thought said "Oh my God!"
- stated "make it a little harder"

* Great composure

Sergio Afonso

- stated their argument was pathos induced
- has not proven link
- brought in Constitutional Amendment, right to bear arms
- tangent (History lesson on the British) ← not needed
- stated contradictory to their own argument
- Used "cookie jar" example ← great point!

* You rock! Your points were clear and you argued each of their points.

Trung Duong

- no linkage
- stated not our job to tell you how, but I will do it (Love this!)
- reduce school shooting by increasing security
- "slippery slope"
- stated "did not mention psychological test & gun test first"
- stated "if cookie jar is placed higher when one reaches for it they will place themselves in greater danger"
- You are a rock star.
- (great point!)

TEAMED DEBATE - YUCAIPA

Yucaipa High School

12/7/2013

This House believes in opening its presents early.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Yucaipa BJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Bob Blackmon	28	
2	Chelsea Ji	26	

NEGATIVE

POS	RHS PD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Maxwell Pereya	28	
1	Carolann Duro	27	

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

RHS PD
~~Yucaipa BJ~~
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Bob - Great speaking, very clear.

Chelsea - Great emotion, good eye contact. Slow down a little, and if not taking a question/point from other team, be more tactful "Let me ~~finish~~ finish my point" would be better than "no".

Max - Very clear speaker, eloquent

Carolann - Great points, very quick to think and answer. Slow down just a bit and use pauses to make your point. Voiced opinion great but sometimes lost when the next sentence runs right after.

Yucaipa BJ - Denying/refusing points got almost over.